```
LB4sADA1
1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
3
      UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                                                20 Cr. 494 (PGG)
                 V.
5
      ROBERT ADAMS,
6
                     Defendant.
                                               Jury Trial
 7
                                                New York, N.Y.
 8
                                                November 4, 2021
                                                12:45 p.m.
9
10
      Before:
11
                           HON. PAUL G. GARDEPHE,
12
                                                District Judge
13
                                 APPEARANCES
14
      A. DAMIAN WILLIAMS
15
           United States Attorney for the
           Southern District of New York
      BY: JARROD L. SCHAEFFER, ESQ.
16
           RUSHMI BHASKARAN, ESQ.
17
           NICOLAS T. ROOS, ESQ.
           Assistant United States Attorneys
18
      SAMUEL GREGORY, ESQ.
           Attorney for Defendant
19
20
      TAYLOR & COHEN LLP
           Attorneys for Defendant
21
      BY: ZACHARY S. TAYLOR, ESQ.
22
      ALSO PRESENT: NICHOLAS TALIERCIO, ESQ.
23
24
25
```

24

25

(Trial resumed; jury not present) 1 THE COURT: All right. I received a note from the 2 3 jury which I'm marking as Court Exhibit 2. The note reads as follows: 4 5 1. Copy of Boyce testimony. 6 Copy of Harris' testimony from the time seven 7 five, when Harris and Boyce were in the visiting room and Adams came in, to the time Harris and Adams went to the hotel. 8 9 Testimony of all mentioned what Adams did with the 10 drugs after he found the drugs. Was there a second court officer in the search 11 12 room when Outlaw was caught with the drugs? 13 Is there Harris testimony admitting she brought in 14 drugs after July 5? 15 Anyone want me to read those five items again? MR. SCHAEFFER: No, your Honor. 16 17 MR. GREGORY: No. 18 THE COURT: The note is dated with today's date and signed by the foreperson. 19 20 So a number of these, I think, are very 21 straightforward. I would like discussion with how we are going 22 to respond to a couple of them. 23 So the copy of Boyce testimony, that's very

straightforward. I don't know if there was any colloquy during

her testimony, but that's just a matter of pulling her direct

and cross.

Then we have copy of Harris' testimony from the time seven five, when Harris and Boyce were in the visiting room and Adams came in, to the time Harris and Adams went to the hotel. So presumably the parties can reach agreement as to the relevant pages for that. Again, we would need to look at both the direct and cross.

Three is testimony of all mentioned what Adams did with the drugs after he found the drugs. So it seems to me you should be able to reach agreement on that testimony.

Fourth is: Was there a second court officer in the search room when Outlaw was caught with the drugs?

Is there any testimony that bears on that question?

MR. GREGORY: I don't think there is, your Honor. I

don't think there is any testimony that bears on that. I could

be wrong. We know that Jean said there should always be two,

but nobody with respect to that particular moment.

THE COURT: What does the government say?

MR. SCHAEFFER: That's right, your Honor. There is testimony about what shouldn't have happened or typically happened, but no testimony about another office being there.

THE COURT: OK. So when I bring them out, I'll tell them that we have no testimony responsive to question four.

Then, finally, question five: Is there Harris testimony admitting she brought in drugs after July 5?

Well, there is clearly testimony that she brought in drugs on August 14, right? What about the period between July 5 and August 14?

MS. BHASKARAN: I believe so, your Honor. I believe she said she brought drugs at least once before August 14.

THE COURT: OK. All right. So the parties will prepare the testimony or find the testimony, both direct and cross, as to number five as well.

All right. Let's try to pull this stuff together as fast as you can. When you have it together, I'll bring them out, and I'll tell them we're going to send that testimony in, and that with respect to question four, there is no testimony that's responsive to that request.

OK?

MR. ROOS: Your Honor, on the second question, from the note, is it clear whether they are asking up to the time they went to the hotel or through the period at the hotel?

THE COURT: I read it as up to. But let me read it again to you.

Copy of Harris' testimony from the time seven five, when Harris and Boyce were in the visiting room and Adams came in, to the time Harris and Adams went to the hotel.

The way I read it is up to the time they went to the hotel. So how would I respond to it?

I would say up to the point that they drove away from

20

21

22

23

24

25

the pizzeria, right? Because that's when they were actually 1 2 driving to the hotel. That's what would make sense to me. 3 Let's see if we can reach agreement on the pages, and if you can't, you know, obviously, I'll resolve it. But that's 4 5 the way I would interpret it. 6 All right. So let me know when you have the relevant 7 pages together. And when you do, I'll tell them we're going to send the testimony in, and I will tell them that, as to 8 9 question four, there is no responsive testimony. 10 Now, Mike, did they get their lunch at 12:30? 11 THE DEPUTY CLERK: I assume so. I haven't been down 12 I'll double-check. there. 13 THE COURT: They are eating now anyway, but I would 14 like to get the testimony back to them as soon as we possibly 15 can. All right. Thank you. 16 17 (Recess pending verdict) 18 (Jury not present) THE COURT: I have received a second note from the 19

jury. I'll mark it as Court Exhibit 3. It reads as follows:

Please provide us with an update of the documents requested. Would you be able to send us any documents that are ready for us to review? Can we have bottled water? Thanks.

Signed by the foreperson, dated today, 2:20.

All right. So where are we on collecting the

documents?

MS. BHASKARAN: Your Honor, we have two, I think, two disputes on some relevant pages that I think your Honor can address pretty quickly. In the meantime, we're getting the pages prepared. Once your Honor decides, we should be able to get those pages back to the jury quite quickly.

So the first dispute relates to question two, with respect to the jury's questions about Harris' testimony. The dispute is whether the testimony should include the car ride up until the point where they get to the motel.

It is the government's view that that — that they were still on their way to the motel, they hadn't yet gotten to the motel, so those pages would be responsive to the jury's question. If the jury was only thinking about what happened in the visit room, then they could have cut it off right there, or said up in the point to the pizzeria.

So the government's view is that testimony with respect to the car ride is responsive to the question.

Defense counsel's view is that those pages should not be included.

That's the first dispute. Would you like to hear from defense counsel, or should we tee up the second one?

THE COURT: Mr. Gregory?

MR. GREGORY: Judge, I just think, to read the note precisely and answer precisely, they would have said to the

time they arrived at the motel rather than went to the motel.

My position is it's up until she got in the car.

THE COURT: Up until what?

MR. GREGORY: Shahada Harris got into the vehicle.

THE COURT: Right.

As I indicated earlier, the way I read the note is up to the time they departed for the hotel. So I agree with defense counsel that the termination point with respect to question number two should be the time at which they left for the hotel.

I tend to agree with Mr. Gregory. When she got in the car and they were on the way to the hotel, I think we're beyond the point of what is responsive for the request.

What's the second dispute?

MR. SCHAEFFER: The second dispute is with respect to question three.

The parties are in agreement on what should go back, except for one section which references several lines of testimony from Stanley Jean, which refers to things that he was not told by Robert Adams on that date.

The government's position is that when the jury asked for what Robert Adams did with the drugs, because this case necessarily turns on the government proving a negative, we should include those 20 lines of testimony about, did Robert Adams tell you this, did he tell you this,

on July 5, because that is the flip side of what he actually did, which is the remaining testimony that we've agreed to provide.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. What does Correction Officer

Jean's testimony have to do with what Adams did with the drugs

after he found them?

MR. SCHAEFFER: The testimony I'm referring to is on page 124, lines 1 to 20, which is where I inquired from Mr. Jean, while he was on duty on that day on July 5, was he informed by Mr. Adams whether it had been found, was a chain of custody filled out, etc.

THE COURT: Mike, do I have a transcript or not?

MR. SCHAEFFER: I'm happy to hand you one of the binders you have, if that's helpful.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. I couldn't hear you.

MR. SCHAEFFER: I'm sorry. I'm happy to hand you one of the binders we have, if that's helpful.

THE COURT: Just so we're clear, what lines do you contend are relevant?

MR. SCHAEFFER: Page 124, lines 1 to 20.

THE COURT: OK. Go ahead, Mr. Gregory.

MR. GREGORY: Judge, there is only one passage that's really responsive to this question, and that's when Shahada Harris is asked, I believe it was on direct, what did Adams do with the drugs? He told me he threw it away.

That was, I think, on page 195, if I'm not mistaken, and that was the only passage that dealt with what he did with the drugs.

(Counsel confer)

And Boyce. I'm sorry, Judge. And Boyce had some testimony that we agreed to. But this testimony is about what he did not do with the drugs, not what he did with the drugs. A responsive answer would be to that question what he did with the drugs.

THE COURT: All right. With respect to the testimony that the government has cited on page 124, lines 1 through 20, I find most of it nonresponsive, but I do find one question and answer responsive, and it's the question at line 10.

"Q. Are you aware of any contraband turned over by Mr. Adams during that shift?

"A. No."

I do believe, given that the jury has sought all mention of what Adams did with the drugs, that this question and answer is relevant because it answers the question of whether he turned over the drugs after he discovered them.

That would be lines 10 through 12 on page 124.

All right. So can I tell the jury that we're going to send back a response to one through five on Court Exhibit 2 very quickly?

MR. SCHAEFFER: Yes, your Honor.

24

25

follows:

1 THE COURT: And then with respect to the note they just sent out, I'll tell them that we're sending the documents 2 3 in immediately after. 4 And with respect to their request for bottled water, unfortunately, the cafeteria is closed. I have no way of 5 6 getting bottled water, so the answer to that would be no. 7 (Pause) 8 MS. BHASKARAN: Judge, one quick question. 9 The parties were just going to give a set of testimony 10 responsive to all of the questions, unless your Honor wanted us 11 to break it out by question. 12 THE COURT: No. I think it can all go in one lump. 13 MS. BHASKARAN: One copy or 12? 14 THE COURT: I was just going to suggest one. I've 15 never made 12 copies. 16 MS. BHASKARAN: OK. 17 (Pause) 18 MR. SCHAEFFER: As a quick update, your Honor, our 19 paralegal is printing it now and said she's on her way up. 20 THE COURT: Thank you. 21 (Jury present) 22 Ladies and gentlemen, I have your first note you sent 23 out today, which I've marked as Court Exhibit 2. It reads as

1. Copy of Boyce testimony.

- 2. The copy of Harris' testimony from the time seven five, when Harris and Boyce were in the visiting room and Adams came in, to the time Harris and Adams went to the hotel.
- 3. Testimony of all mention what Adams did with the drugs after he found the drugs.
- 4. Was there a second court officer in the search room when Outlaw was caught with the drugs?
- 5. Is there Harris testimony admitting she brought in drugs after July 5?

The note is dated today, signed by foreperson.

There was a second note, Court Exhibit 3, which reads as follows:

Please provide us with an update of the documents requested. Would you be able to send us any documents that are ready for us to review? Can we have bottled water? Thanks.

Signed by the foreperson, dated today, and with a time of 2:20.

Let me start with the water. Unfortunately, the answer is no, because the cafeteria is closed, sadly. It closes soon after the lunch hour. So I, unfortunately, don't have access to any bottled water for you.

We do have all the testimony ready. I will tell you that there was only responsive testimony for questions one, two, three, and five. There is no responsive testimony as to question four.

I'll just remind you what question four was. Was there a second court officer in the search room when Outlaw was caught with the drugs? There is no responsive testimony on that point.

There is testimony relevant to all your other questions, and the lawyers have prepared that for you and will be sending it into the jury room soon after you return there.

So please continue your deliberations. Thank you all very much.

(Jury not present)

All right. Your paralegal is on the way over here?

MR. SCHAEFFER: She actually has a quick question,

your Honor. If Ms. Bhaskaran would be able to run down just to

confer with her before she finishes printing, we should have it

back up in a few minutes.

THE COURT: All right. Anyway, once she arrives, you'll make sure the lawyers, defense lawyers, agree with what should go in. And then, please, they are obviously impatient with the time we've taken to find the testimony, so please let's get it to them as soon as we can.

MR. SCHAEFFER: We apologize for the delay, your Honor.

MR. GREGORY: Judge, just one thing. There is a bunch of water, or many bottles of water, in the attorney lounge, if you want us to grab some of those.

THE COURT: That's a good idea. Sure. 1 MR. GREGORY: We will grab them and put them in the 2 3 courtroom. 4 THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Ruocco can give them to the 5 marshal. I'm sure they would appreciate that. 6 Good idea, Mr. Gregory. Thank you. 7 (Recess pending verdict) (Jury not present) 8 9 THE COURT: All right. We're at five past five, so 10 we're going to bring the jury up and send them home for the 11 evening. 12 (Jury present) 13 Ladies and gentlemen, we have reached the five o'clock 14 hour. As is our custom, I'll be sending you home for the 15 evening. As always, please don't discuss the case with anybody. 16 17 I'm going to ask you to return at 9:30 tomorrow morning to continue your deliberations. And, please, don't begin your 18 deliberations until all 12 of you are present. 19 20 So have a pleasant evening, and I ask you to return at 21 9:30 tomorrow morning to continue your deliberations. 22 Thank you all very much. 23 (Jury not present) 24 All right. We'll await their next note. Thank you 25 all very much.

```
(Adjourned to Friday, November 5, 2021, at 9:30 a.m.)
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```